



Pergamon

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 13 (2003) 4089–4092

BIOORGANIC &
MEDICINAL
CHEMISTRY
LETTERS

Utility of Boron Clusters for Drug Design. Relation Between Estrogen Receptor Binding Affinity and Hydrophobicity of Phenols Bearing Various Types of Carboranyl Groups

Yasuyuki Endo,^{a,*} Keisuke Yamamoto^b and Hiroyuki Kagechika^b

^aFaculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Tohoku Pharmaceutical University, 4-4-1, Komatsushima, Aoba-ku, Sendai 981-8558, Japan

^bGraduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1, Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

Received 22 July 2003; accepted 15 August 2003

Abstract—High binding affinity for estrogen receptor and the appearance of estrogenic activity require a phenolic ring and an appropriate hydrophobic group adjacent to the phenolic ring. A quantitative structure–activity relationship analysis based on the values of $\log P$ and the pK_a of the phenolic group showed that the hydrophobicity of these compounds is highly correlated to the estrogen receptor α (ER α)-binding affinity. These results should be useful for application of these spherical boron clusters (dicarba-*closo*-dodecaboranes; carboranes) as hydrophobic pharmacophores in drug design, as well as for microscopic analysis of ER–ligand interactions.

© 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The icosahedral carboranes (dicarba-*closo*-dodecaboranes)¹ have characteristic properties, such as high boron content, remarkable thermal and chemical stability, spherical geometry and exceptional hydrophobic character. We have focused on the possibility of using carboranes as a hydrophobic component in biologically active molecules, which interact hydrophobically with receptors. Recently, we have reported examples of the design, synthesis and biological evaluation of nuclear receptor ligands (estrogens^{2,3} and retinoids⁴) and other biologically active molecules⁵ containing a carborane cage as a hydrophobic pharmacophore. In view of the potential application of carboranes in the design of a wide range of drugs, we have also experimentally measured the partition coefficients, $\log P$, for carboranylphenols by means of an HPLC method, and determined the values of the Hansch–Fujita hydrophobic parameter π of various carboranyl groups. The values ($\pi=2.71$ –4.47) depend on the position of substitution on the carborane cage and the isomeric form (*o*-, *m*-, *p*-carboranes).⁶ In the work, we selected eight carboranylphenols (**1–8**) for the determination of the hydrophobic parameter π of the carboranyl group, because measuring partition coefficients of phenol

derivatives is a fundamental method for determination of ‘aromatic’ substituent constants,⁷ and because these carboranylphenols themselves exhibit potent estrogenic activity.² The potency of compound **1** was almost the same as that of 17 β -estradiol, and was significantly higher than that of known estrogenic 4-alkylphenols⁸ and 4-cycloalkylphenols⁹ that are either present in the environment, or used as chemicals. Further, alteration of the position of substitution on the carborane cage affects the biological activities. The carboranylphenols (**1–8**) have the same molecular geometry and do not have conformational factors which would have to be considered in a structure–activity study. On the other hand, the acidity of the phenolic group may affect the binding affinity for ER through hydrogen-bonding, in addition to the hydrophobicity of the carboranes. Therefore, we considered that two parameters, $\log P$ and pK_a , would be sufficient for quantitative structure–activity relationship analysis in this system. We describe here measurements of pK_a and binding affinity to ER α for eight carboranylphenols (**1–8**), and we present quantitative structure–activity relationships based on $\log P$ and pK_a (Fig. 1).

The $\log P$ values of the carboranylphenols and the aromatic hydrophobic parameters π of the carboranyl groups, which have been reported in our previous paper,⁶ are shown in Table 1. It is apparent that *C*-substituted

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +81-22-234-4183; fax: +81-22-275-2013; e-mail: yendo@tohoku-pharm.ac.jp

We anticipated that the principal factor for ER α binding affinity would be hydrophobicity, but the two carboranylphenols with low hydrophobicity, *o*-carboran-9-yl- (**8**) and *m*-carboran-9-ylphenol (**7**), exhibited unexpectedly high binding affinity to ER α . First-order relationship between $\log P$ and the binding affinity was not observed. Therefore, we fitted the data to the following parabolic equation by regression analysis. This equation ($R^2=0.923$) shows that the principal factor determining the ER α binding affinity of carboranylphenols is hydrophobicity.

$$\begin{aligned}\log (1/IC_{50}) &= 1.93(\pm 0.276) (\log P)^2 \\ &\quad - 18.9(\pm 2.76) (\log P) + 44.4(\pm 6.80) \\ n &= 8, R^2 = 0.923, s = 0.206\end{aligned}$$

The following equation with regression coefficient $R^2=0.980$ was obtained by the addition of the electronic factor, pK_a (Table 2)

$$\begin{aligned}\log (1/IC_{50}) &= 2.00(\pm 0.158) (\log P)^2 \\ &\quad - 19.1(\pm 1.57) (\log P) + 0.779(\pm 2.30) (pK_a) \\ &\quad + 36.3 (\pm 4.54) \\ n &= 8, R^2 = 0.980, s = 0.117\end{aligned}$$

Table 2. QSAR analysis of carboranylphenols (**1–8**)

Compd	Log (1/IC ₅₀)		
	Observed	Calcd from eq 2	Δ
4-(<i>p</i> -Carboran-1-yl)phenol (1)	0.229	0.286	−0.057
4-(<i>o</i> -Carboran-1-yl)phenol (2)	−0.455	−0.585	0.130
4-(<i>m</i> -Carboran-1-yl)phenol (3)	−0.556	−0.498	−0.058
4-(<i>m</i> -Carboran-2-yl)phenol (4)	−0.981	−0.911	−0.070
4-(<i>p</i> -Carboran-2-yl)phenol (5)	−0.838	−0.914	0.076
4-(<i>o</i> -Carboran-3-yl)phenol (6)	−1.855	−1.738	−0.117
4-(<i>m</i> -Carboran-9-yl)phenol (7)	−1.369	−1.443	0.074
4-(<i>o</i> -Carboran-9-yl)phenol (8)	−0.593	−0.558	−0.035

In general, the biological activity increases as $\log P$ increases until the maximum value is obtained. The value of $\log P$ at the maximum represents the optimum for biological activity. Beyond that point, further increase in $\log P$ results in a decrease of biological activity. It is apparent that the parabolic curve expressed by the above eq 2 is the reverse of the usual parabolic curve. However, in the present system, membrane transport and distribution do not affect the activity. Our results may effectively represent the microscopic QSAR at the ligand-binding cavity of the receptor. On this basis, the experimental results can be explained as follows. Recent studies on the three-dimensional structure of the complex formed by 17 β -estradiol and the human ER α ligand binding domain (hER α LBD)¹⁴ have revealed the structural requirements for the appearance of estrogenic activity. 17 β -Estradiol is oriented in the ligand-binding pocket by two types of contacts: hydrogen bonding from the phenolic hydroxyl group to Glu-353

and Arg394, and from the 17- β -hydroxyl group to the δ -nitrogen of His-524, and hydrophobic interaction along the body of the skeleton. The hydrophobic interaction along the spherical carborane cage to hydrophobic residues (such as Leu384, Phe404, Leu428, Leu526) of the receptor cavity seems to produce a stronger interaction than that in the case of 17 β -estradiol. This may be the reason for the high activity of compound **1**, which lacks a hydroxyl group for hydrogen-bonding to His-524. Therefore, it is reasonable that the ER α binding affinity increases as $\log P$ increases, even in the high $\log P$ range. The reason for increase of the activity in the low $\log P$ range can be explained by the difference in the natures of CH and BH on the carborane cage. The two carbon vertices of carboranes bear relatively acidic protons ($pK_a=21$) and readily allow substitution with metals or organic groups, and this characteristic is exhibited in the sequence *o*->*m*->*p*-carborane. Recent studies on the interaction of CH on the cage include a crystallographic analysis of the hydrogen-bonding interactions between cage CH and diaza-18-crown-6.¹⁵ Therefore, one CH on the cage of *o*-carboran-9-ylphenol (**8**) and *m*-carboran-9-ylphenol (**7**), which have two CH groups towards the outside, may have an electrostatic interaction with His-524.

In summary, we have measured pK_a and binding affinity to ER α for eight carboranylphenols and conducted a simple QSAR analysis of carboranylphenols for ER α binding affinity, employing $\log P$ and pK_a as coefficients. These results should be useful for application of carboranes in the design of a wide range of drugs, as well as for microscopic analysis of ER-ligand interactions.

References and Notes

- For recent reviews on medicinal applications, see: Hawthorne, M. F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1993**, 32, 950.
- Soloway, A. H.; Tjarks, W.; Barnum, B. A.; Rong, F.-G.; Barth, R. F.; Codogni, I. M.; Wilson, J. G. *Chem. Rev.* **1998**, 98, 1515.
- Endo, Y.; Iijima, T.; Yamakoshi, Y.; Yamaguchi, M.; Fukasawa, H.; Shudo, K. *J. Med. Chem.* **2001**, 42, 1501.
- Endo, Y.; Iijima, T.; Yamakoshi, Y.; Fukasawa, H.; Miyaura, C.; Kubo, A.; Itai, A. *Chem. Biol.* **1999**, 8, 341.
- Endo, Y.; Iijima, T.; Yamakoshi, Y.; Kubo, A.; Itai, A. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2000**, 9, 3313.
- Endo, Y.; Yoshimi, T.; Iijima, T.; Yamakoshi, Y. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1999**, 9, 3387.
- Endo, Y.; Yoshimi, T.; Yamakoshi, Y. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1999**, 48, 314.
- Iijima, T.; Endo, Y.; Tsuji, M.; Kawachi, E.; Kagechika, H.; Shudo, K. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1999**, 47, 398.
- Endo, Y.; Iijima, T.; Ohta, K.; Kagechika, H.; Kawachi, E.; Shudo, K. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1999**, 47, 585.
- Endo, Y.; Yaguchi, K.; Kawachi, E.; Kagechika, H. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2000**, 10, 1733.
- Endo, Y.; Yoshimi, T.; Kimura, K.; Itai, A. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **1999**, 9, 2561.
- Tsuji, M.; Koiso, Y.; Takahashi, M.; Hashimoto, Y.; Endo, Y. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* **2000**, 23, 513.
- Yamamoto, K.; Endo, Y. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2001**, 11, 2389.
- Fujita, T.; Iwasa, J.; Hansch, C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1964**, 86, 5175.

8. Routledge, E. J.; Sumpter, J. P. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1997**, 272, 3280.
9. Yamakoshi, Y.; Otani, Y.; Fujii, S.; Endo, Y. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* **2000**, 53, 151.
10. Hawthorne, M. F.; Berry, T. E.; Wegner, P. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1965**, 87, 4746. Zakharkin, L. I.; Kalinin, V. N.; Rys, E. G. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim.* **1974**, 2632.
11. Bates, R. G. *Determination of pH, Theory and Practice*; Wiley: New York, 1973.
12. Rochester, C. H.; Wilson, D. N. *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.* **1976**, 72, 2930.
13. For review see: Gao, H.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A.; Garg, R.; Hansch, C. *Chem. Rev.* **1999**, 99, 723.
14. Brzozowski, A. M.; Pike, A. C. W.; Dauter, Z.; Hubbard, R. E.; Bonn, T.; Engstrom, O.; Ohman, L.; Greene, G. L.; Gustafsson, J.; Carlquist, M. *Nature* **1997**, 389, 753.
15. Godfrey, P. D.; Grigsby, W. J.; Nicjols, P. J.; Raston, C. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, 119, 9283.